



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant filed under the Residential Tenancy Act (the “Act”), to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the “Notice”), issued on April 8, 2017.

Both parties appeared, gave testimony, and were provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to cross-examine the other party, and make submissions at the hearing.

The parties confirmed receipt of all evidence submissions and there were no disputes in relation to review of the evidence submissions.

I have reviewed all evidence and testimony before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. I refer only to relevant facts and issues in this decision.

Issue to be Decided

Should the Notice be cancelled?

Background and Evidence

The tenant testified that they received the Notice on April 8, 2017. The tenant stated that rent was not paid in full within 5 days. The tenant stated that they were injured and have applied for employment insurance. The tenant stated that the insurance would not cover the rent in any event.

The landlord stated that the tenant has not paid rent for April and May 2017.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Upon review of the Notice, I find the Notice is completed in accordance with the requirements of section 52 of the Act.

Under the legislation the tenant may dispute the Notice for specific reasons, such as they have proof that their rent was paid or that the tenant had the right under the Act to deduct all or a portion from their rent, such as an order from an Arbitrator.

Although the tenant filed an application for dispute resolution within the time limit permitted under the Act, I find the tenant's application had no merit as the tenant admitted rent was not paid within 5 days after receiving the Notice.

While I accept the tenant may be having financial difficulty that does not release them from their obligation under section 26 of the Act.

Section 26 of the Act states:

Section 26 (1) A tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I find the tenancy legally ended on the effective date of the Notice, which was April 13, 2017, and the tenant is now overholding the rental premises. Therefore, I dismiss the tenant's application without leave to reapply.

As the tenant was not successful with their application the tenant is not entitled to recover the filing fee from the landlord.

Section 55 of the Act states:

Section 55 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

- (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [*form and content of notice to end tenancy*], and
- (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, effective **two days** after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The tenant failed to pay rent. The tenant's application is dismissed. The landlord is granted an order of possession.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 16, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch