



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding VARUN GOYAL (MEGABOX PACKAGING SOLUTIONS INC.)
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNR, MNDC, MNSD, OLC, RPP, LRE, OPT, AAT, FF

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the “Act”), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of her security deposit pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to change the locks to the rental unit pursuant to section 70;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62;
- an order to the landlord to provide services or facilities required by law pursuant to section 65;
- an order to suspend or set conditions on the landlord’s right to enter the rental unit pursuant to section 70;
- an order requiring the landlord to return the tenant’s personal property pursuant to section 65; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

This matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 9:30 am on July 19, 2017. The line remained open while the phone system was monitored for fifteen minutes and no participant called into the hearing during this time.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing – If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application with or without leave to reapply.

Accordingly, as neither the applicant nor the respondent attended the hearing by 9:45am and **in the absence of any evidence or submissions I order the application dismissed**. I make no findings on the merits of the matter. The issuance of this decision does not extend any applicable deadlines under the Act.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 20, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch