

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding COLDWELL BANKER PRESTIGE REALTY and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MND, MNDC, MNSD, FF; MNSD, FF

#### <u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

- a monetary order for damage to the rental unit and for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, *Residential Tenancy Regulation* ("*Regulation*") or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain the tenant's security deposit, pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for its application, pursuant to section 72.

This hearing also dealt with the tenant's cross-application pursuant to the *Act* for:

- authorization to obtain a return of double the amount of the security deposit, pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for its application, pursuant to section 72.

The landlord's agent ("landlord") and the tenant's agent ("tenant") attended the hearing and were each given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. The landlord confirmed that he was the property manager for the landlord company named in this application and that he had authority to speak on its behalf at this hearing. The tenant confirmed that he was the contract manager for the tenant company named in this application and that he had authority to speak on its behalf at this hearing. This hearing lasted approximately 41 minutes in order to allow both parties to negotiate a full settlement of both applications.

Both parties confirmed receipt of the other party's application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that both tenants were duly served with the other party's application.

Page: 2

### Analysis

Pursuant to section 63 of the *Act*, the Arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision and an order. During the hearing, the parties discussed the issues between them, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement of all issues with respect to this entire tenancy:

- 1. Both parties agreed that the landlord will retain the tenant's entire security deposit of \$1,150.00;
- 2. Both parties agreed to bear their own costs for the \$100.00 filing fees paid for their applications;
- Both parties agreed that this settlement agreement constitutes a final and binding resolution of both applications at this hearing and any issues arising out of this tenancy;
- 4. Both parties agreed that they will not initiate any future claims or applications against each other at the Residential Tenancy Branch, with respect to any issues arising out of this tenancy.

These particulars comprise a full and final settlement of all aspects of this dispute and arising out of this tenancy. Both parties affirmed that they understood and agreed to the above terms, free of any duress or coercion. Both parties affirmed that they understood and agreed to these terms as legal, final, binding and enforceable, settling all aspects of this dispute and arising out of this tenancy.

#### Conclusion

I order the landlord to retain the tenant's entire security deposit of \$1,150.00. Both parties must bear their own costs for the \$100.00 filing fees paid for their applications.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 17, 2017	(4
	Residential Tenancy Branch