



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: CNC

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for an order to set aside a notice to end tenancy for cause. This matter was set for a conference call hearing at 10:30 a.m. on this date.

Despite having made application for dispute resolution, as of 10:41 a.m. the applicant/tenant had not called into the conference call. The respondent/landlord called in.

Analysis:

Since applicant did not call into the conference call, her application is dismissed without leave to reapply. Accordingly, the notice to end tenancy is upheld. The effective date of the notice was July 31, 2017.

Section 48 of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* addresses an order of possession for the landlord and states:

Order of possession for the landlord

48 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the manufactured home site if

- (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 45 [*form and content of notice to end tenancy*], and
- (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

In this case, I find that the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy that complies with section 45 (form and content of notice to end tenancy). Since the tenant did not attend the hearing, I have dismissed the tenant's application for dispute resolution and have upheld the notice to end tenancy.

Under the provisions of section 48, I must issue an order of possession when I have upheld a notice to end tenancy. Accordingly, I so order. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The notice to end tenancy is upheld. Since the effective date of the notice (July 31, 2017) is now past, I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 03, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch