

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

## <u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 1, 2017, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on August 6, 2017, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on November 30, 2016, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,250.00, due on the fifteenth day of each month for a tenancy commencing on December 1, 2016;

Page: 2

- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated April 26, 2017, for \$1,250.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that a 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 12:00 pm on July 25, 2017.

## **Analysis**

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the landlord to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the landlord cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

The landlord has indicated that they have served several 10 Day Notices to the tenant. The landlord claims that a 10 Day Notice was hand delivered to the resident on April 25, 2017. The landlord has also indicated that they served a 10 Day Notice on July 25, 2017 by posting the notice to the door.

I find that the landlord did not provide a copy of a 10 Day Notice dated July 25, 2017 and only submitted a copy of a 10 Day Notice dated April 26, 2017.

Section 52 of the *Act* provides the following requirements regarding the form and content of Notices to End Tenancy:

- **52** In order to be effective, a notice to end a tenancy must be in writing and must
- (a) be signed and dated by the landlord or tenant giving the notice,
- (b) give the address of the rental unit,
- (c) state the effective date of the notice,...and
- (e) when given by a landlord, be in the approved form...

Page: 3

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that there is no effective date (the

day when the tenant must move out of or vacate the site) on the 10 Day Notice. I find that this omission invalidates the 10 Day Notice as the landlord has not complied with

the provisions of section 52 of the Act. It is possible to amend an incorrect date on the 10 Day Notice, but the Act does not allow an adjudicator to input a date where none is

written in.

Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application to end this tenancy and obtain an Order

of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice of April 26, 2017, without leave to

reapply.

The 10 Day Notice of April 26, 2017, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

For the same reasons identified in the 10 Day Notice the landlord's application for a

Monetary Order is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

The landlord's application for an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice

of April 26, 2017, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice of April 26, 2017, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the *Act*.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 08, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch