# **Dispute Resolution Services**



Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

### Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

#### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the Landlord applied for an Order of Possession for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, a monetary Order for unpaid rent or utilities, a monetary Order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss, to retain all or part of the security deposit, and to recover the fee for filing this Application for Dispute Resolution.

The Agent for Landlord stated that on July 17, 2017 the Application for Dispute Resolution, the Notice of Hearing and documents the Landlord submitted to the Residential Tenancy Branch on August 15, 2017 were sent to each Tenant, via registered mail. The Agent for the Landlord cited two Canada Post tracking numbers that corroborate this statement. In the absence of evidence to the contrary I find that these documents have been served in accordance with section 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act);* however the Tenants did not appear at the hearing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession, to a monetary Order for unpaid rent or utilities, and to retain the security deposit?

#### Background and Evidence

The Agent for the Landlord stated that:

- the Tenants agreed to pay monthly rent of \$875.00 by the first day of each month;
- the Tenants paid a security deposit of \$200.00 on March 28, 2017;

- the Tenants still owe \$145.00 in rent for June of 2017;
- the Tenants have not paid any rent for July, August, or September of 2017;
- a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, which had an effective date of July 09, 2017, was posted on the door of the rental unit on June 29, 2017; and
- the Tenants have not vacated the rental unit.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

On the basis of the undisputed evidence I find that the Tenants entered into a tenancy agreement with the Landlord that required the Tenants to pay monthly rent of \$875.00 by the first day of each month; that the Tenants did not pay all of the rent that was due on June 01, 2017; and that the Tenants still owe \$2,770.00 in rent for the period ending September 30, 2017. As the Tenants are still occupying the rental unit, I find that the Tenants must pay \$2,770.00 in outstanding rent to the Landlord.

Section 46(1) of the *Act* entitles landlords to end a tenancy within ten days if rent is not paid when it is due by providing proper written notice. On the basis of the undisputed evidence I find that the Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy, served pursuant to section 46 of the *Act*, was posted at the rental unit on June 29, 2017.

Section 90 of the *Act* stipulates that a document that is posted on a door is deemed to be received on the third day after it is posted. I therefore find that the Tenant is deemed to have received the Notice to End Tenancy on July 02, 2017.

Section 46(1) of the *Act* stipulates that a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy is effective ten days after the date that the tenant receives the Notice. As the Tenant is deemed to have received this Notice on July 02, 2017I find that the earliest effective date of the Notice was July 12, 2017.

Section 53 of the *Act* stipulates that if the effective date stated in a Notice is earlier that the earliest date permitted under the legislation, the effective date is deemed to be the earliest date that complies with the legislation. Therefore, I find that the effective date of this Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy was July 12, 2017.

Section 46 of the *Act* stipulates that a tenant has five days from the date of receiving the Notice to End Tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice. I have no evidence that the Tenants exercised either of these rights and, pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I find that the Tenants accepted that the tenancy has ended. On this basis I grant the landlord an Order of Possession.

I find that the Landlord's application has merit and that the Landlord is entitled to recover the cost of filing this Application for Dispute Resolution.

#### **Conclusion**

I grant the Landlord an Order of Possession that is effective at 1:00 p.m. on September 30, 2017. This Order may be served on the Tenants, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia, and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$2,870.00, which includes \$2,770.00 in unpaid rent and \$100.00 in compensation for the fee paid to file this Application for Dispute Resolution. Pursuant to section 72(2) of the *Act*, I authorize the Landlord to keep the Tenants' security deposit of \$200.00, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

Based on these determinations I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for the balance of \$2,670.00. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenants, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Act*.

Dated: September 25, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch