

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes: OPR MNR FF

## **Introduction**

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("the *Act*") for an Order of Possession for:

- an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, pursuant to section 72.

While the landlord attended the hearing by way of conference call, the tenant did not. The landlord was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

The landlord testified that the tenant was served with the landlord's application for dispute resolution hearing package on July 12, 2027 by way of registered mail. The landlord provided a Canada Post tracking number during the hearing. In accordance with section 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the landlord's application on July 17, 2017, five days after its registered mailing.

The landlords testified that the tenant was served with the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, dated June 26, 2017("10 Day Notice"), on June 26, 2017, by way of posting to the rental unit door. In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the landlord's 10 Day Notice on June 29, 2017, three days after its posting.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to recover their filing fee for this application?

Page: 2

## **Background and Evidence**

The landlord testified regarding the following facts. This month-to-month tenancy began in April of 2017 with monthly rent in the amount of \$900.00 payable on the first day of each month. The landlord holds a security deposit in the amount of \$450.00 for this tenancy. The landlord indicated in the hearing that the tenant had abandoned the unit and his belongings, but was unable to confirm when this had occurred.

The landlord issued the 10 Day Notice on June 26, 2017, indicating an effective moveout date of July 8, 2017. The landlord testified that the tenant owes \$950.00 in outstanding rent, and has not paid any rent since the 10 Day Notice was issued to him.

# **Analysis**

The landlord provided undisputed evidence at this hearing, as the tenant did not attend. The tenant failed to pay the rent in full, within five days of being deemed to have received the 10 Day Notice. The tenant did not make an application pursuant to section 46(4) of the *Act* within five days of being deemed to have received the 10 Day Notice. In accordance with section 46(5) of the *Act*, the failure of the tenant to take either of the above actions within five days led to the end of this tenancy on July 9, 2017, the corrected effective date on the 10 Day Notice. In this case, this required the tenant and anyone on the premises to vacate the premises by July 9, 2017. I find that the landlord's 10 Day Notice complies with section 52 of the *Act*. The landlord believes the tenant has moved out, abandoning his belongings. As the landlord is unable to confirm whether the tenant has fully vacated the premises, I find that the landlord is entitled to a two (2) day Order of Possession, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act* so the landlord may take full possession of the premises.

The landlord provided undisputed evidence that the tenant failed to pay the outstanding rent in the amount of \$950.00. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to \$950.00 in outstanding rent for this tenancy.

The landlord continues to hold the tenant's security deposit of \$450.00. In accordance with the offsetting provisions of section 72 of the *Act*, I order the landlord to retain the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

As the landlord was successful in their application, I find that she is entitled to recover the filing fee for this application.

Page: 3

# Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two (2) days after service on the tenant**. Should the tenant or anyone on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I issue a \$600.00 Monetary Order in favour of the landlord, which allows the landlord to recover unpaid rent, the filing fee for this application, and also allows the landlord to retain the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

| Item  | Amount   |
|---|----------|
| Unpaid Rent                                 | \$950.00 |
| Recovery of Filing Fee for this Application | 100.00   |
| Security Deposit and Pet Damage Deposit     | -450.00  |
| Total Monetary Order                        | \$600.00 |

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 29, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch