

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

 cancellation of the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) pursuant to section 46;

The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:41 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The landlord H.D. (the landlord) attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present sworn testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. The landlord indicated that he would be representing the interests of both landlords in this matter.

The landlord acknowledged receipt of the Tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution (the Application). In accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the Application.

<u>Analysis</u>

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of any evidence or submissions from the applicant, I order the application dismissed without liberty to reapply.

Section 55(1) of the Act reads as follows:

55 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant an order of possession of the rental unit to the landlord if, at the time scheduled for the hearing,

(a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52{form and content of notice to end tenancy}, and
(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

The landlord testified that they have already obtained an Order of Possession for the rental unit through the direct request process with the Residential Tenancy Branch and that the landlords have possession of the rental unit at this time. The landlord testified that they do not require an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for dispute resolution without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 04, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch