

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNL, OLC, FF, RP

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 2 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property (the 2 Month Notice) pursuant to section 49;
- an order to the landlord to make repairs to the rental unit pursuant to section 33;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62; and
- authorization to recover his filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

While the landlords attended the hearing by way of conference call, the tenant did not. I waited until 11:10 a.m. to enable the tenant to participate in this scheduled hearing for 11:00 a.m. The landlords were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing

If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

The tenant initiated the dispute resolution process as they are the applicant in this matter. The landlord testified that the tenant served him the Notice of Hearing package with today's date, time and passcode for this conference. Based on the above, I find that the tenant was aware of today's hearing but chose not to participate, accordingly; I proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

The landlords provided undisputed testimony that the tenant was served with the 2 Month Notice for Landlords Use of Property, with an effective date of September 30, 2017, on July 11, 2017. The tenant submitted a copy of that notice in their evidence package. As the tenant chose not to participate in this hearing, I hereby dismiss their application in its entirety

Issues to be Decided

Should the landlord's 2 Month Notice be cancelled? If not, is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Background and Evidence

The landlords' agent gave the following undisputed testimony. The tenancy began on or about March 7, 2013. Rent in the amount of \$525.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The landlord issued a Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlords Use of Property on July 11, 2017 with an effective date of September 30, 2017, on the basis that the landlord and his wife wish to move into the home. The agent testified that the landlords are his parents and they wish to reside in the home for their personal use and to be closer to family members.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 55(1) of the Act reads as follows:

55 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

(a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and

(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

In the absence of sufficient evidence or submissions from the tenant, I order the tenant's application dismissed without liberty to reapply. I find that the 2 Month Notice complies with section 52 of the *Act*.

Based on my decision to dismiss the tenant's application for dispute resolution and pursuant to section 55(1) of the *Act*, I find that this tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of September 30, 2017. I find that the landlords are entitled to a 2 day Order of Possession. The landlords will be given a formal Order of Possession which must be served on the tenant. If the tenant does not vacate the rental unit within the 2 days required, the landlords may enforce this Order in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Conclusion

The tenancy is terminated. The landlord is granted an order of possession. The tenants' application is dismissed in its entirety without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 10, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch