

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted two signed Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding which declare that on September 28, 2017, the landlord posted the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding to the door of the rental unit. The landlord had a witness sign the Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm this service. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89(2) and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on October 1, 2017.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on June 14, 2017, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,600.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on June 15, 2017;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy; and

Page: 2

A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated September 11, 2017, with a stated effective vacancy date of September 24, 2016, for \$3,000.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenants' door at 1:15 pm on September 11, 2017. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on September 14, 2017, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,600.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, September 24, 2017.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing as of September 27, 2017.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notices of Direct Request proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per Section 89 of the *Act*.

Section 89(1) of the *Act* does <u>not</u> allow for the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to be given to the tenants by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the tenants reside.

Section 89(2) of the *Act* does allow for the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding to be given to the tenants by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the tenants reside, only when considering an Order of Possession for the landlord.

Page: 3

I find that the landlord has served the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding to the door of the rental unit at which the tenants reside, and for this reason, the landlord's application to recover the filing fee for this application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 03, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch