

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

#### **DECISION**

Dispute codes OPR MNR

#### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to the landlord's application pursuant to the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 48;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 60;

This application was originally heard by way of a Direct Request Proceeding and on September 27, 2017 an interim decision was issued adjourning the application to be reconvened at a participatory hearing.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:15 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on September 27, 2017, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution including the Notice of Hearing and Interim Decision was sent to the tenant by registered mail. The landlord provided a registered mail receipt and tracking number in support of service. The landlord served an additional evidence package to the tenant by registered mail on November 17, 2017 a mail receipt for which was also provided.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 82 & 83 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

#### Preliminary Issue – Amendment to Landlord's Application

Paragraph 57(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend an application for dispute resolution.

At the hearing, the landlord testified that the tenant had not yet vacated the rental unit and therefore asked to amend his claim to include outstanding rent for December 2017. Although the tenant did not have prior notice of this claim, I find that the tenant should reasonably have known that the landlord would suffer this loss if the tenant neither paid rent nor vacated the rental unit. I therefore allowed the landlord's request for an amendment.

Page: 2

#### <u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

#### Background and Evidence

This manufactured home park tenancy began on March 1, 2017 and the current monthly pad rent is \$575.00 payable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of each month.

The landlord testified that on September 9, 2017 he personally served the tenant with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities. A proof of service of this Notice signed by the tenant acknowledging service was provided with the application. A copy of the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities was also sent to the tenant by registered mail on this same date. The landlord provided a registered mail receipt and tracking number in support of service.

The landlord testified that the tenant did not pay the outstanding amount of rent as indicated in the Notice within five days of service of the Notice.

The landlord's monetary claim is for outstanding rent in the amount of \$1625.00. The landlord testified that this includes unpaid rent of \$575.00 per month for the months of October, November and December 2017.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was personally served with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy on September 9, 2017, pursuant to sections 81 & 83 of the Act.

Section 39 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Notice served by the landlord is in compliance with the Act and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 48 of the Act.

Section 20 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested evidence and claim for outstanding rent in the amount of \$1625.00.

### Conclusion

Page: 3

Pursuant to section 48 of the Act, I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after** service of this Order on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 60 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1625.00. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 11, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch