

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: OPM, OPC, FFL

Introduction

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord seeks the following:

- a. An Order for Possession
- b. An Order to recover the cost of the filing fee.

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondents although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the one month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant(s) on August 5, 2017. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the Tenants on October 7, 2017. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence:

The tenancy began at the start of July 2017. The rent is \$900 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$450 at the start of the tenancy.

The tenant(s) continue to reside in the rental unit.

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. The landlord served a one month Notice to End Tenancy on the Tenants on August 5, 2017 that set the end of tenancy for September 30, 2017. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date. Accordingly, I determined the landlords are entitled to an Order for Possession.

Settlement:

The landlord advised that the parties had reached a settlement and he asked that I record the settlement pursuant to section 63(2) of the Residential Tenancy Act as follows:

- a. The parties mutually agree to end the tenancy on February 28, 2018.
- b. The parties request that the arbitrator issue an Order of Possession for February 28, 2018.

As a result of the settlement I issued an Order of Possession effective February 28, 2018.

The tenant(s) must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is final and binding on the parties.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 14, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch