

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD MNDC OLC FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount, pursuant to section 38;
- a monetary order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 1:50 p.m. in order to enable the landlord to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 p.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The tenant testified that on June 30, 2017, he sent a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing to the landlord by registered mail. A registered mail tracking number was provided in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the landlord was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the landlord.

In the hearing the tenant clarified that he was only seeking return of his security and pet deposit, including double the amount, plus recovery of the filing fee for this application.

Issues

Is the tenant entitled to a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began in approximately October of 2010. The rental unit is a 1 bedroom basement suite. The tenant testified that he entered into an agreement to rent the unit with the landlord who resided in the upstairs portion of the home. The home was owned by the landlord's wife's sister. The monthly rent was \$1200.00 and all payments were made payable to the landlord or his wife. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$600.00 plus a \$600.00 pet deposit at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold. The tenancy ended on February 28, 2017.

The tenant is claiming double the security deposit arguing that the landlord failed to return the security deposit within 15 days of the date the landlord received the tenants forwarding address in writing. The tenant testified that he provided a forwarding address in writing to the landlord in person by way of a letter on February 27, 2017.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38 of the Act provides that when a tenancy ends, the landlord may only keep a security deposit if the tenant has, at the end of the tenancy, consented in writing, or the landlord has an order for payment which has not been paid. Otherwise, the landlord must return the deposit, with interest if payable, or make a claim in the form of an Application for Dispute Resolution. Those steps must be taken within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy, or the date the tenant provides a forwarding address in writing, whichever is later. A landlord who does not comply with this provision may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit, pet deposit, or both, as applicable.

I accept the tenant's undisputed testimony and find the tenant did provide a forwarding address in writing to the landlord. The tenant's security and pet deposit was not refunded within 15 days as required by section 38 of the Act and the doubling provisions of section 38 therefore apply.

I allow the tenant's claim for return of the security and pet deposit and award an amount of \$2400.00, which is double the original security and pet deposit of \$1200.00.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2500.00. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 14, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch