



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ICON PROPERTY ADVISORS LTD IN TRUST
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD OLC FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of their security deposit pursuant to section 38;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

DZ appeared as agent on behalf of the landlord, and had full authority to do so. Both parties attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present their sworn testimony, to make submissions, to call witnesses and to cross-examine one another.

The landlord confirmed receipt of the tenant's application for dispute resolution ('Application') and evidence. In accordance with sections 88 and 89 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenant's Application. The landlord did not submit any written evidence in response to the tenant's application.

Analysis

Pursuant to section 63 of the *Act*, the Arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During the hearing the parties discussed the issues between them, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement of all issues currently under dispute at this time:

1. The landlord agreed to pay the tenant \$425.00 by March 12, 2018.
2. The tenant agreed to withdraw her application.

These particulars comprise the full and final settlement of all aspects of this dispute for both parties. Both parties testified at the hearing that they understood and agreed to the above terms, free of any duress or coercion. Both parties testified that they understood and agreed that the above terms are legal, final, binding and enforceable, which settle all aspects of this dispute.

Conclusion

In order to implement the above settlement reached between the parties, and as advised to both parties during the hearing, I issue a Monetary Order in the tenant's favour in the amount of \$425.00. The tenant is provided with this Order in the above terms and the landlord must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible in the event that the landlord does not abide by condition #1 of the above agreement. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 26, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch