

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes FF, MNR

This matter was scheduled for a conference call at 1:30 p.m. on this date. Both parties participated in the teleconference. At the outset of the hearing the issue of jurisdiction was raised. The landlord advised that the relationship between the parties was a business arrangement to repair the home and not a tenancy agreement. The landlord acknowledge and conceded that she erred in filing an application at the Branch as she feels that since this was a business arrangement the matter should be heard elsewhere. After hearing the landlords' explanation I also agree that this was not a landlord tenant relationship and as a result; I must dismiss this application for want of jurisdiction. In light of the above, it is my determination that the Applicant and Respondent have no rights or obligations to each other under the *Residential Tenancy Act* and therefore I do not have jurisdiction to resolve a dispute between the parties.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DECLINED TO HEAR this matter, for want of jurisdiction and the application is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 15, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch