

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPUM-DR, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 2, 2018, the landlord posted the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the door of the rental unit. The landlord had a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm this service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89(2) and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 5, 2018, the third day after their posting.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on September 5, 2017, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,100.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on September 10, 2017;
- A copy of an e-mail from the landlord to the tenant dated December 20, 2017 requesting payment of 50% of the utilities;
- A copy of a utility bill from BC Hydro for the rental unit dated December 14, 2017 for \$538.65;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated January 19, 2018 for \$1,100.00 in unpaid rent and \$268.65 in unpaid utilities (the 10 Day Notice). The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of January 29, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to the tenant's girlfriend, an adult who resides with the tenant, at 5:56 pm on January 19, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was duly served with the 10 Day Notice on January 19, 2018.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,100.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, January 29, 2018.

Page: 3

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent

owing for January 2018 as of January 30, 2018.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenant with the Notice of

Direct Request proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as

per Section 89 of the Act.

Section 89(1) of the Act does not allow for the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to

be given to the tenant by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the tenant resides. Section 89(2) of the Act does allow for the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding

to be given to the tenant by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the

tenant resides, only when considering an Order of Possession for the landlord.

I find that the landlord has served the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the door

of the rental unit at which the tenant resides, and for this reason, the monetary portion of the landlord's application for unpaid rent and utilities is dismissed with leave to

reapply.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective two days after service of this

Order on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be

filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and utilities with

leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application

without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 06, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch