

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPRM-DR, FFL

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 17, 2018, the landlord sent Tenant A.R. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that Tenant A.R. is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 22, 2018, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

The landlord has not provided a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding for Tenant S.S. and therefore has not established that Tenant S.S. has been served the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding.

For this reason, I will only proceed with the portion of the landlord's application naming Tenant A.R. as a respondent.

# Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by a landlord who is not the
  applicant and the tenants on November 30, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$695.00,
  due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on December 1, 2015;
- A copy of a State of Title Certificate and a letter showing the transfer of management responsibilities from the former landlord, who is named on the residential tenancy agreement, to the current landlord who is applying for dispute resolution;
- A copy of a Notice of Rent Increase form showing the rent being increased from \$695.00 to the current monthly rent amount of \$720.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated January 10, 2018 for \$715.00 in unpaid rent (the 10 Day Notice). The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of January 24, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was placed in the tenants' mailbox or mail slot on January 10, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion
  of this tenancy. The Direct Request Worksheet noted that \$615.00 of the \$715.00
  identified as owing in the 10 Day Notice was paid on January 26, 2018.

#### **Analysis**

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act,* I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on January 13, 2018, three days after it was placed in the mailbox or mail slot.

I note that the address indicated on the 10 Day Notice from which the tenant must move is slightly different than the tenant address on the 10 Day Notice where documents are served, the tenancy agreement, the Application for Dispute Resolution and all other documents submitted with the landlord's application. I have amended this address to match all other information provided for the address as per Section 68(1) of the *Act* as it is reasonable to do so under the circumstances.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$720.00 as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase.

Page: 3

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, January 24, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00, the amount claimed by the landlord, for unpaid rent owing for January 2018 as of February 16, 2018.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on Tenant A.R. Should Tenant A.R. **and any other occupant** fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$200.00 for rent owed for February 2018 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant A.R. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant A.R. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent naming Tenant S.S. as a respondent without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 23, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch