



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPL, FFL

### Introduction

This hearing was convened by way of conference call concerning an Application made by the Landlords for an Order of Possession and to request reimbursement for the Filing Fee from the Tenants.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Should the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy, served on January 26, 2018, be cancelled?

### Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled for a conference call hearing on this date.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This hearing was scheduled to commence at 11:00 a.m. on April 30, 2018. I dialed into the teleconference at 11:00 a.m. and monitored the teleconference until 11:11 a.m. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply, however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 30, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch