

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes OPUM-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 11, 2018, the landlord personally served the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlord had a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 11, 2018.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on July 5, 2017, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,400.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on July 5, 2017;
- A copy of a utility bill from BC Hydro for the rental unit dated January 5, 2018 for \$60.27;
- Two copies of utility bills from Fortis BC for the rental unit dated December 1, 2017 for \$101.10 and January 2, 2018 for \$253.54;
- A copy of an e-mail demand letter from the landlord to the tenant, dated January 23, 2018, requesting payment of utilities in the amount of \$251.00;
- A copy of a demand letter from the landlord to the tenant, dated March 17, 2018, requesting payment of utilities in the amount of \$183.71;
- A copy of a Proof of Service Written Demand to Pay Utilities form;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) for \$1,400.00 in unpaid rent and \$434.71 in unpaid utilities. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of April 13, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to the tenant at 1:00 pm on April 2, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy; and
- A copy of a letter from the landlord to the tenant dated April 10, 2018, indicating a payment of \$1,400.00 of rent, paid by the tenant, is "for use and occupancy only".

### <u>Analysis</u>

Section 52 of the *Act* provides the following requirements regarding the form and content of notices to end tenancy:

**52** In order to be effective, a notice to end a tenancy must be in writing and must

- (a) be signed and dated by the landlord or tenant giving the notice,
- (b) give the address of the rental unit,
- (c) state the effective date of the notice,...and

(e) when given by a landlord, be in the approved form...

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the 10 Day Notice is not signed or dated by the landlord. I further find that this omission invalidates the 10 Day Notice as the landlord has not complied with the provisions of section 52 of the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application to end this tenancy and obtain an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice served on April 2, 2018, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice served on April 2, 2018 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

For the same reasons identified in the 10 Day Notice the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find that the landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

#### **Conclusion**

The landlord's application for an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice served on April 2, 2018, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice served on April 2, 2018, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the Act.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 13, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch