



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPL

### Introduction

On February 21, 2018, the Landlord applied for a dispute resolution proceeding seeking an Order of Possession for Landlord's Use of Property, pursuant to section 49 of the Act.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for Landlord's Use of Property?

### Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 9:30 AM on May 3, 2018.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 9:30 AM and monitored the teleconference until 9:45 AM. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

As the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 9:45 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 4, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch