



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MT, CNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application from the tenant pursuant to the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the *Act*). The tenant applied for a request for extension of time limit pursuant to Section 59 of the *Act* to dispute a notice to end tenancy; to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy pursuant to Section 39 of the *Act*, and to recover the filing fee.

The matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 9:30 AM on May 17, 2018. Neither party attended although I left the teleconference hearing connection open for fifteen minutes to enable them to call. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only one who had called into this teleconference.

Issues to be Decided

1. Is the tenant entitled to more time to dispute a notice to end tenancy pursuant to Section 59 of the *Act*?
2. Is the tenant entitled to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy pursuant to Section 39 of the *Act*?
3. Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution pursuant to Section 65 of the *Act*?

Conclusion

As neither the applicant nor the respondent attended the hearing and in the absence of any evidence or submissions, I order the application dismissed with leave to reapply. I note this does not extend any applicable deadlines under the *Act*.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 25, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch