

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes OPRM-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 23, 2018, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on May 28, 2018, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

## Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 01, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 \$950.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on November 15, 2015;
- A second copy of an unsigned tenancy agreement, indicating a monthly rent of \$950.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on November 15, 2015;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated May 03, 2018, for \$950.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of May 16, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent by registered mail to the tenant at 9:30 a.m. on May 03, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act,* I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on May 08, 2018, five days after its mailing.

Direct request proceedings are *ex parte* proceedings. In an *ex parte* proceeding, the opposing party is not invited to participate in the hearing or make any submissions. As there is no ability of the tenants to participate, there is a much higher burden placed on landlords in these types of proceedings than in a participatory hearing. This higher burden protects the procedural rights of the excluded party and ensures that the natural justice requirements of the Residential Tenancy Branch are satisfied.

Part 3, section 41 of the *Act* states that a landlord must not increase rent except in accordance with sections 42 and 43 of the *Act*, which only allow for a rent increase

served in the approved form, at least 3 months before the effective date of the increase by an amount calculated in accordance with the regulations or for an amount agreed to by the tenant.

I find that the tenant has not signed the tenancy agreement which indicates that they agree with the monthly rent being increased to \$950.00 and that the landlord has not provided any other evidence that the tenant has agreed to a monthly rent amount different than what is on the signed tenancy agreement. I further find that there are no Notice of Rent Increase forms to support the increased monthly rent. For the above reasons,

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$750.00 \$950.00, as per the tenancy agreement, for the May 2018 monthly rent.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, May 18, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$750.00 \$950.00, for unpaid rent owing for May 2018 as of May 15, 2018.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

#### **Conclusion**

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$850.00 \$1,050.00 for rent owed for May 2018 and for the recovery of the

filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 29, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch

DECISION AMENDED PURSUANT TO SECTION 78(1)(A) OF THE <u>RESIDENTIAL TENANCY ACT</u> ON JUNE 05, 2018, AT THE PLACES INDICATED **IN BOLD** AND <del>STRIKETHROUGH</del>.

Residential Tenancy Branch