



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

On May 2, 2018, the Tenant submitted an Application for Dispute Resolution under the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("the Act") requesting a Monetary Order for the return of her security deposit. The matter was set for a participatory hearing via conference call.

Issue to be Decided

Should the Tenant be granted a Monetary Order for the return of her security deposit?

Background and Evidence

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This hearing was scheduled to commence at 1:30 p.m. on June 12, 2018. I dialed into the teleconference at 1:30 p.m. and monitored the teleconference until 1:31 p.m. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply, however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 12, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch