



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CRYSTAL RIVER COURT LTD  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      CNC

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant filed under the *Residential Tenancy Act*, (the “Act”), to dispute a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause pursuant to section 47 of the *Act*.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

- Should the Notice to End Tenancy be cancelled?

### Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled for a teleconference hearing on June 12, 2018.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This hearing was scheduled to commence at 9:30 a.m. on June 12, 2018. I was unable to connect to this hearing at the scheduled time. I have reviewed the hearing logs and audit notes for this hearing and confirmed that neither the Applicant nor the Respondent called into the teleconference at the scheduled time. I verified that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing.

### Analysis

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 11, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch