



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MT, CNC, OLC, FFT

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- more time to cancel a notice to end tenancy, pursuant to section 66;
- cancellation of a One Month Notice for Cause, pursuant to section 47;
- an Order for the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation, and/or the tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62; and
- repayment of the filing fee pursuant to section 72.

Neither party attended at the appointed time set for the hearing, although I waited 11 minutes to enable them to participate in this hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 7 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

#### **7.1 Commencement of the dispute resolution hearing**

The dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. Rule 7.3 states that if a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

1. Is the tenant entitled to more time to cancel a notice to end tenancy, pursuant to section 66 of the *Act*?

2. Is the tenant entitled to cancellation of a One Month Notice for Cause, pursuant to section 47 of the *Act*?
3. Is the tenant entitled to an Order for the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation, and/or the tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62 of the *Act*?
4. Is the tenant entitled to repayment of the filing fee pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Conclusion

Based on the above, **in the absence of any evidence or submissions I order the application dismissed with liberty to reapply.** I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Liberty to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 03, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch