



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDL-S, MNRL-S, MNDCL-S, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 67;
- a Monetary Order for damages, pursuant to section 67;
- a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain the tenant's security deposit, pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant, pursuant to section 72.

Neither party attended at the appointed time set for the hearing, although I waited 11 minutes to enable them to participate in this hearing scheduled for 1:30 p.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 7 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.1 Commencement of the dispute resolution hearing

The dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. Rule 7.3 states that if a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Issues to be Decided

1. Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?
2. Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for damages, pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?
3. Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?
4. Is the landlord entitled to retain the tenant's security deposit, pursuant to section 38 of the *Act*?
5. Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Conclusion

In the absence of any evidence or submissions I order the application dismissed with liberty to reapply. I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Liberty to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 19, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch