



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPC, FFL

Introduction

On June 1, 2018, the Landlords applied for a dispute resolution proceeding seeking an Order of Possession pursuant to section 47 of the Act and seeking recovery of the filing fee pursuant to section 72 of the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Are the Landlords entitled to an Order of Possession?
- Are the Landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the Tenant?

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 11:00 AM on July 23, 2018.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 11:00 AM and monitored the teleconference until 11:11 AM. Neither the Applicants nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had

been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

As the Applicants did not attend the hearing by 11:11 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 24, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch