

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: FFL MNRL-S

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("the *Act*") for an Order of Possession for:

- a monetary order for money owed pursuant to section 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, pursuant to section 72.

While the landlord attended the hearing by way of conference call, the tenants did not. The landlord was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

<u>Preliminary Issue - Service of the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution</u>

The landlord testified during the hearing that when the tenants had moved out they did not provide him with any forwarding addresses. The landlord is still in possession of the security deposit. The landlord attempted to serve the tenants by email, but the tenants did not attend the hearing.

Section 89 of the Act establishes the following special rules for service of documents.

Special rules for certain documents

- **89** (1) An application for dispute resolution or a decision of the director to proceed with a review under Division 2 of Part 5, when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:
 - (a) by leaving a copy with the person;
 - (b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord:

Page: 2

(c) by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;

- (d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;
- (e) as ordered by the director under section 71 (1) [director's orders: delivery and service of documents].

The method of service used by the landlord to serve all respondents with his application does not comply with section 89 (1) of the *Act* as stated above. Accordingly, I dismiss the landlord's application, with leave to reapply, for a monetary order for money owed.

The filing fee is a discretionary award issued by an Arbitrator usually after a hearing is held and the applicant is successful on the merits of the application. As I was not required to make a decision on the merits of this case, I find that the landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application. The landlord must bear the cost of this filing fee.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 24, 2018	
	Residential Tenancy Branch