



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

On May 24, 2018, the Tenant made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a Monetary Order for a return of the security deposit pursuant to section 38 of the *Act*.

The Tenant attended the hearing; however, there was no appearance by the Landlords. The Tenant provided a solemn affirmation.

The Tenant advised that he served the Notice of Hearing package, by Xpresspost, to the Landlords on May 28, 2018. The Tenant also advised that he did not have a service address for the Landlords and simply mailed the Notice of Hearing package to the address of the rental unit.

As the Notice of Hearing package was not served in a method in accordance with Section 89 of the *Act* and was not served to an address that has been corroborated to be an appropriate service address for the Landlords, I am not satisfied that the Landlords were served with the Tenant's Notice of Hearing package. As such, I dismiss the Tenant's Application with leave to re-apply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Tenant's Application with leave to re-apply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 24, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch