



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPRM-DR, FFL

### Introduction

This teleconference hearing was scheduled in response to an application by the Landlord under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) for an Order of Possession based on a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the “10 Day Notice”), for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and for the recovery of the filing fee paid for this application. This Application for Dispute Resolution was originally filed through the Direct Request process, but was adjourned to a participatory hearing to clarify service of the 10 Day Notice.

### Issues to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession based on a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent?

Is the Landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent?

Should the Landlord be awarded the recovery of the filing fee paid for this Application for Dispute Resolution?

### Background and Evidence

Rule 7.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure* states that the hearing commences at the scheduled time, unless otherwise determined by the Arbitrator. Rule 7.3 of the *Rules of Procedure* state that in the absence of a party, the hearing may be conducted in their absence or the dispute dismissed, with or without leave to reapply.

The teleconference hearing was scheduled for 9:30 am on July 27, 2018. I called into the hearing at 9:30 am and the phone line was monitored until 9:40 am. No one called in for either party during the 10-minute duration that the conference line was left open. The teleconference codes and call-in numbers were confirmed to be accurate on the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding.

### Analysis

Due to the absence of both parties, I find that this Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

### Conclusion

I dismiss this Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply. This decision does not extend any applicable time limits under the *Residential Tenancy Act*. No findings of fact or law were made with respect to the dispute.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 27, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch