

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

## Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes CNC, FFT

## **Introduction**

This hearing dealt with the tenants' application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause, ("1 Month Notice"), pursuant to section 47; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, pursuant to section 72.

While the respondent landlord attended the hearing by way of conference call, the applicant tenant did not, although I waited until 9:43 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m.

The landlord confirmed receipt of the tenant's application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenant's application.

Pursuant to section 64(3)(c) of the *Act*, I amend the tenant's application to correct the spelling of the landlord's surname. The landlord consented to this amendment during the hearing.

Rule 7.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure provides as follows:
7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

Page: 2

In the absence of any appearance by the tenant, I order the tenant's entire application dismissed without leave to reapply.

Pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, if I dismiss the tenant's application to cancel a 1 Month Notice, the landlord is entitled to an order of possession if the notice meets the requirements of section 52 of the *Act*. Neither party provided a copy of the 1 Month Notice for the hearing for me to determine whether it met section 52 of the *Act*.

The landlord testified that an order of possession was not required. She said that she was not prepared to provide any evidence for the hearing. She stated that she thought the tenant may have vacated the rental unit because when she drove by the unit, it did not appear that he was there.

For the above reasons, I do not issue an order of possession to the landlord.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 27, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch