

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MT, CNL, MNDCT, OLC, FFL

# Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- more time to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy, pursuant to section 66;
- cancellation of the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property, pursuant to section 49;
- a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the Act, pursuant to section 67;
- an Order directing the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72.

Neither party attended at the appointed time set for the hearing, although I waited 11 minutes to enable them to participate in this hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 7 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

#### 7.1 Commencement of the dispute resolution hearing

The dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. Rule 7.3 states that if a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Page: 2

### <u>Issues to be Decided</u>

- 1. Is the tenant entitled to more time to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy, pursuant to section 66 of the *Act*?
- 2. Is the tenant entitled to cancellation of the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property, pursuant to section 49 of the *Act*?
- 3. Is the tenant entitled to a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?
- 4. Is the tenant entitled to an Order directing the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62 of the *Act*?
- 5. Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

# **Conclusion**

Based on the above, in the absence of any evidence or submissions I order the application dismissed with liberty to reapply. I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Liberty to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 26, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch