

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDCT, LRE, OLC, FFT

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67;
- an Order that the landlord's right to enter be suspended or restricted, pursuant to section 70;
- an Order directing the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72.

Preliminary Issue- Service

The tenant testified that the landlord was served the notice of dispute resolution package by registered mail. The tenant provided the Canada Post Tracking Number to confirm this registered mailing. The tenant testified that the notice of dispute resolution package was returned to sender. The tenant did not submit any documents into evidence which stated the landlord's address for service. The only document entered into evidence was a photograph of the notice of dispute resolution package that was returned to sender.

Section 89 of the *Act* establishes the following Special rules for certain documents, which include an application for dispute resolution:

89(1) An application for dispute resolution,...when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

- (a) by leaving a copy with the person;
- (b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;
- (c) by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;
- (d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;
- (e) as ordered by the director under section 71(1) [director's orders: delivery and service of document]...

I find that the tenant has not proved that the notice of application was sent to the address at which the landlord resides, pursuant to section 89(1)(c) of the *Act*. At the hearing, I advised the tenant that I was dismissing his application with leave to reapply.

I notified the landlord that if he wished to pursue this matter further, he would have to file a new application. I cautioned him to be prepared to prove service at the next hearing, as per section 89 of the *Act*. I notified the landlord that he could consult a lawyer for legal advice or an information officer at the Residential Tenancy Branch for information regarding the *Act* or the hearing process.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application to recover the \$100.00 filing fee without leave to reapply.

The remainder of the tenant's application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 25, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch