



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      MNDC, FF

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- a monetary order for unpaid rent, for damage to the rental unit, and for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to recover his filing fee for this application from the tenant(s) pursuant to section 72.

The named landlord attended the hearing via conference call and provided affirmed testimony. No other parties attended. No documentary evidence was submitted by either party. It was clarified with the named landlord that the applicant, M.F. has filed a monetary claim, but instead of naming a party, has provided "**SEE FILE 31014836, 31014844, 31015511**". The applicant and the named respondents also use the same address. The applicant stated that he found it confusing filing the application and was not able to provide the relevant information. As such, the application is dismissed with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 30, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch