

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPRM-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted two signed Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding which declare that on October 5, 2018, the landlord personally served each of the tenants the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlord had the tenants and a witness sign the Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on October 5, 2018.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement and addendums which were signed by the landlord and the tenants on October 16, 2017, indicating a monthly rent of \$650.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on October 6, 2017;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
 dated August 14, 2018, for \$3,450.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides
 that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or
 apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective
 vacancy date of September 30, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenants' door at 4:30 pm on September 14, 2018;
- A copy of a receipt dated October 1, 2018, for \$685.00 of rent, paid by the tenants; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on September 17, 2018, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, September 30, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing as of October 3, 2018.

I note that the amount of rent on the tenancy agreement does not match the amount of rent being claimed on the 10 Day Notice. If there has been a rent increase, the appropriate Notice of Rent Increase forms must be submitted with the Application for

Page: 3

Dispute Resolution to substantiate the claim for the increased rent; and/or the Direct Request Worksheet must clearly show any additional months for which the tenant still

owes rent.

For this reason, the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is

dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is

entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective two days after service of this

Order on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order

may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 72 of the Act, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00 for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided

with this Order in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be

filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of

that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to

reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 09, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch