

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPRM-DR, FFL

Preliminary Matters

The landlords submitted an Amendment to an Application for Dispute Resolution form on August 27, 2018 requesting Tenant F.A. be added as a second respondent. I find that Tenant F.A. appears on the tenancy agreement, the 10 Day Notice and all other documents submitted with the Application. Section 64(3)(c) and section 64(4)(a) of the *Act* allow me to amend the application to include an additional tenant, which I have done.

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlords submitted two signed Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding which declare that on August 27, 2018, the landlords sent each of the tenants the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlords provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings. Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants are deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on September 1, 2018, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Page: 2

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on March 17, 2016, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,200.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on April 1, 2017;
- A copy of a Notice of Rent Increase form showing the rent being increased from \$1,200.00 to the current monthly rent amount of \$1,248.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
 dated August 8, 2018, for \$3,740.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides
 that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or
 apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective
 vacancy date of August 18, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenants' door at 11:45 am on August 8, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet and ledger showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy. The Direct Request Worksheet noted that \$770.00 of the \$3,740.00 identified as owing in the 10 Day Notice was paid on August 15, 2018.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on August 11, 2018, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,248.00, as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase.

Page: 3

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, August 21, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$2,970.00, the amount claimed by the landlords, for unpaid rent owing for June 2018, July 2018, and August 2018 as of August 22, 2018.

As the landlords were successful in this application, I find that the landlords are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this**Order on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlords a Monetary Order in the amount of \$3,070.00 for rent owed for June 2018, July 2018, and August 2018 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 04, 2018	
	Residential Tenancy Branch