

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNL, MNDCT, OLC, ERP, PSF, LRE, LAT, RR, FFT

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- cancellation of the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use, pursuant to section 49;
- a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67;
- an Order for emergency repairs, pursuant to section 62;
- an Order that the landlord's right to enter be suspended or restricted, pursuant to section 70;
- authorization to change the locks, pursuant to section 31;
- an Order directing the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62;
- an Order to provide services or facilities required by the tenancy agreement or law, pursuant to section 65;
- an Order to reduce rent for repairs, services or facilities agreed upon but not provided, pursuant to section 65; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72.

Both parties attended the hearing and were each given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions, and to call witnesses.

The tenant testified that the landlord was served the notice of dispute resolution package by registered mail sometime at the end of July 2018. Landlord S.K.A testified that she received the dispute resolution package via registered mail on July 27, 2018. I

find that the landlords were served with this package on July 27, 2018, in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*.

I note that Section 55 of the *Act* requires that when a tenant submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy issued by a landlord I must consider if the landlord is entitled to an order of possession if the Application is dismissed and the landlord has issued a notice to end tenancy that is compliant with the *Act*.

Preliminary Issue- Severance

Section 2.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure states that claims made in an Application for Dispute Resolution must be related to each other. Arbitrators may use their discretion to dismiss unrelated claims with or without leave to reapply.

It is my determination that the priority claim regarding the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property (the "Two Month Notice") and the continuation of this tenancy is not sufficiently related to any of the tenant's other claims to warrant that they be heard together. The parties were given a priority hearing date in order to address the question of the validity of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The tenant's other claims are unrelated in that the basis for them rests largely on facts not germane to the question of whether there are facts which establish the grounds for ending this tenancy as set out in the Two Month Notice. I exercise my discretion to dismiss all of the tenant's claims with leave to reapply except cancellation of the notice to end tenancy and recovery of the filing fee for this application.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- 1. Is the tenant entitled to cancellation of the Two Month Notice, pursuant to section 49 of the *Act*?
- 2. Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?
- 3. If the tenant's application is dismissed and the landlord's notice is upheld, is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*?

<u>Analysis</u>

Pursuant to section 63 of the *Act*, the Arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During the hearing the parties discussed the issues between them, engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute regarding the Two Month Notice and filing fee.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement regarding the disputed Two Month Notice and filing fee:

- 1. This tenancy will end on or before one o'clock in the afternoon on December 31, 2018, by which time the tenant agreed to provide vacant possession of the rental unit to the landlords.
- 2. The tenant may end the tenancy earlier than December 31, 2018 by giving the landlords at least 10 days' written notice to end the tenancy.
- 3. No rent is due from the tenant to the landlord for the last month the tenant resides in the rental unit.

These particulars comprise the full and final settlement of all aspects of this dispute regarding the Two Month Notice and filing fee for both parties. Both parties gave verbal affirmation at the hearing that they understood and agreed to the above terms as legal, final and binding, which settle all aspects of this dispute.

Conclusion

To give effect to the settlement reached between the parties and as discussed with them during the hearing, I issue the attached Order of Possession effective December 31, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. to be used by the landlord **only** if the tenant does not abide by the terms of the settlement agreement.

Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 13, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch