

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding LIGHTHOUSE REALTY LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNRL, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55;
- a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 26 and 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee from the tenant, pursuant to section 72.

The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 9:46 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The property manager (the "landlord") attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

The landlord testified that the tenant was served the notice of dispute resolution package by registered mail on July 25, 2018. The landlord provided the Canada Post Tracking Number to confirm this registered mailing. I find that the tenant was deemed served with this package on July 30, 2018, five days after its mailing, in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*.

Page: 2

- 1. Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?
- 2. Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 26 and 67 of the *Act*?
- 3. Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenant, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

While I have turned my mind to the documentary evidence and the testimony of the landlord, not all details of her submissions and arguments are reproduced here. The relevant and important aspects of the landlord's claims and my findings are set out below.

The landlord provided the following undisputed evidence. This tenancy began on November 1, 2017 and is currently ongoing. Monthly rent in the amount of \$1,000.00 is payable on the first day of each month. A security deposit of \$500.00 was paid by the tenant to the landlord.

The landlord testified that on June 27, 2018 she posted a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent with an effective date of July 11, 2018 (the "10 Day Notice") on the tenant's door. The landlord testified that she also served the tenant with a copy of the 10 Day Notice via registered mail on June 29, 2018. The landlord provided the Canada Post Tracking Number to confirm this registered mailing.

The landlord testified that the tenant has not paid any rent for June, July, August and September of 2018.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the evidence provided by the landlord and the landlord's undisputed testimony, I find that service of the 10 Day Notice was effected on the tenant on July 30, 2018, three days after its posting, in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

I find that the tenant failed to pay the June 2018 rent within five days of receiving the 10 Day Notice. The tenant has not made application pursuant to section 46(4) of the *Act* within five days of receiving the 10 Day Notice. In accordance with section 46(5) of the

Page: 3

Act, the tenant's failure to take either of these actions within five days led to the end of his tenancy on the effective date of the notice.

In this case, this required the tenant to vacate the premises by July 11, 2018. As that has not occurred, I find that the landlord is entitled to a 2 day Order of Possession. The landlord will be given a formal Order of Possession which must be served on the tenant. If the tenant does not vacate the rental unit within the 2 days required, the landlord may enforce this Order in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Section 26(1) of the *Act* states that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. The landlord provided undisputed testimony that rent in the amount of \$1,000.00 per month was not paid for the months of June, July, August and September 2018. I find that the tenant was obligated to pay rent in the amount of \$1000.00 per month for the months of June, July, August and September 2018 and that he failed to do so. I find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$4,000.00 for unpaid rent.

As the landlord was successful in her application, I find that she is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee from the tenant, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*.

Section 72(2) states that if the director orders a tenant to make a payment to the landlord, the amount may be deducted from any security deposit due to the tenant. I find that the landlord is entitled to retain the tenant's entire security deposit in the amount of \$500.00 in part satisfaction of her monetary claim for unpaid rent against the tenant.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service on the tenant**. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I issue a Monetary Order to the landlord under the following terms:

Item	Amount
June rent	\$1,000.00

July rent	\$1,000.00
August rent	\$1,000.00
September rent	\$1,000.00
Filing Fee	\$100.00
Less security deposit	-\$500.00
TOTAL	\$3,600.00

The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 14, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch