



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC, FFT

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the "1 Month Notice") pursuant to section 47; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

This matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 11:00 am on this date. The line remained open while the phone system was monitored for fifteen minutes and the only participant who called into the hearing during this time was the respondent's agent.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing – If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application with or without leave to reapply.

Therefore, as the applicant did not attend the hearing by 11:10 am, and the respondent appeared and was ready to proceed, I dismiss the tenant's claim without leave to reapply.

Section 55 of the *Act* provides that:

If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

- (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and

(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I find that the 1 Month Notice complies with the form and content requirements of section 52 as it is signed and dated by the agent of the corporate landlord, provides the address of the rental unit, the effective date of the notice, and the grounds for the tenancy to end. The landlord gave sufficient evidence that the tenant has seriously jeopardized the health and safety of other occupants and put the property at significant risk by leaving stovetop cooking unattended and causing a fire hazard on several occasions.

Accordingly, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55. As the effective date of the notice has passed, I issue an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service.

Conclusion

The tenant's application is dismissed without leave to reapply.

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **2 days after service on the tenant**. Should the tenant or anyone on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 11, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch