

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ROYAL ARCADIA APT. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes CNC

## **Introduction**

This hearing dealt with the tenants' application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

 cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause, dated August 13, 2018 ("1 Month Notice"), pursuant to section 47.

While the respondent landlord's agent ("landlord") attended the hearing by way of conference call, the applicant tenants did not, although I waited until 9:40 a.m. in order to enable the tenants to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The landlord stated that he was the property manager for the landlord company named in this application and that he had permission to speak on its behalf as an agent.

The landlord confirmed receipt of the tenants' application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenants' application.

Rule 7.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch *Rules of Procedure* provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

In the absence of any appearance by the tenants, I order the tenants' entire application dismissed without leave to reapply.

Page: 2

Pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, if I dismiss the tenants' application to cancel a 1 Month Notice, the landlord is entitled to an order of possession if the notice meets the requirements of section 52 of the *Act*.

The landlord testified that he withdrew the 1 Month Notice and continued the tenancy with the tenants as per a letter, dated October 1, 2018, from the landlord, which was submitted for this application. He stated that he did not require an order of possession against the tenants.

For the above reasons, I do not issue an order of possession to the landlord.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 04, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch