



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding REMI REALTY INC.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNRL, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 46 and 55;
- a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 26 and 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee from the tenant, pursuant to section 72.

The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 9:51 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Preliminary Issue- Service

This application originated as a Direct Request Proceeding. In an Interim Decision dated August 28, 2018 (the "Interim Decision"), the Direct Request Proceeding was Ordered to reconvene as a participatory hearing to be conducted by an arbitrator. The Interim Decision stated:

Notices of Reconvened Hearing are enclosed with this interim decision. The applicant must serve the Notice of Reconvened Hearing, the interim decision, and all other required documents, upon the tenant within three (3) days of receiving this decision in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

The landlord testified that he did not serve the Notice of Reconvened Hearing and the Interim Decision on the tenant.

Section 89 of the *Act* establishes the following Special rules for certain documents, which include an application for dispute resolution:

89(1) An application for dispute resolution,...when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

- (a) by leaving a copy with the person;*
- (b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;*
- (c) by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;*
- (d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;*
- (e) as ordered by the director under section 71(1) [director's orders: delivery and service of document]...*

I find that the landlord did not serve the tenants in a manner required by section 89(1) of the *Act*.

As the landlord did not serve the tenant with the Notice of Reconvened Hearing and the Interim Decision, I dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the \$100.00 filing fee without leave to reapply.

The remainder of the landlord's application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch