

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

• authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit pursuant to section 38, including double the amount;

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 1:50 p.m. in order to enable the landlord to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 p.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The tenant testified that on October 19, 2018, she sent a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing to the landlord by registered mail. A registered mail receipt and tracking number was provided in support of service. The landlord testified that this package was sent to an address for service provided by the property manager named in this application.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the landlord was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the landlord.

<u>Issues</u>

Is the tenant entitled to a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on May 15, 2018 and ended on September 28, 2018. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$325.00 at the start of the tenancy.

The tenant is claiming double the security deposit arguing that the landlord failed to return the security deposit within 15 days of the date the landlord received the tenants forwarding address in writing. The tenant's witness testified that a forwarding address was provided by her in writing to the landlord on September 28, 2018. The tenant claims that her security deposit was not returned within 15 days of this date. The tenant testified that she did receive a cheque in the amount of \$325.00 from the landlord on October 24, 2018 but she has not cashed this cheque.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38 of the Act provides that when a tenancy ends, the landlord may only keep a security deposit if the tenant has, at the end of the tenancy, consented in writing, or the landlord has an order for payment which has not been paid. Otherwise, the landlord must return the deposit, with interest if payable, or make a claim in the form of an Application for Dispute Resolution. Those steps must be taken within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy, or the date the tenant provides a forwarding address in writing, whichever is later. A landlord who does not comply with this provision may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit, pet deposit, or both, as applicable.

I find the tenant did provide a forwarding address in writing to the landlord. The tenants' security deposit was not refunded within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy or the date a forwarding address was provided as required by section 38 of the Act. The landlord did not have written authorization to retain the security deposit or file an application to claim against the deposit within fifteen days; therefore, the doubling provisions of section 38 apply.

I allow the tenant's claim for return of the security deposit and award an amount of \$650.00, which is double the original security deposit of \$325.00.

Although the tenant acknowledged receiving a cheque in the amount of \$325.00, the tenant is granted a Monetary Order for the full award of \$650.00. The tenant may cash or deposit the cheque she has in her possession and if there is no issue with this cheque clearing, the enforceable portion of this order will be reduced in accordingly.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$650.00. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 30, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch