



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC, LRE, MNDCT, MT, OLC, FFT

Introduction

On September 12, 2018, the Tenant applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking to Cancel a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the “Notice”) pursuant to Section 47 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”), seeking more time to cancel the Notice pursuant to Section 66 of the *Act*, seeking to suspend or restrict the Landlord’s right to enter pursuant to Section 70 of the *Act*, seeking a Monetary Order for compensation pursuant to Section 67 of the *Act*, seeking an Order for the Landlord to comply pursuant to Section 62 of the *Act*, and seeking recovery of the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

On September 17, 2018, this matter was set down for a Dispute Resolution Hearing on October 29, 2018 at 11:00 AM.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Tenant entitled to have the Notice cancelled?
- Is the Tenant entitled to have more time to cancel the Notice?
- If the Tenant is unsuccessful in cancelling the Notice, is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?
- Is the Tenant entitled to have the Landlord’s right to enter suspended or restricted?
- Is the Tenant entitled to monetary compensation?
- Is the Tenant entitled to an Order for the Landlord to comply?
- Is the Tenant entitled to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 11:00 AM on October 29, 2018.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 11:00 AM and monitored the teleconference until 11:11 AM. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

As the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 11:11 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 1, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch