Dispute Resolution Services



Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPRM-DR, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the adjourned Direct Request Application by the Landlord filed under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "*Act*"), for an order of possession to enforce a 10-Day Notice for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) issued on September 20, 2018, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for this application. The matter was set for a conference call.

The Landlord and his translator attended the hearing and were each affirmed to be truthful in their testimony. As the Tenants did not attend the hearing, service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing was considered. Section 59 of the *Act* states that the respondent must be served with a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing. The Landlord testified that he served the Tenants with the Notice of Hearing documents by Canada Post Registered mail, sent on October 13, 2018, two Canada post tracking number were provided as evidence of service. I find that the Tenants had been duly served with the Notice of Hearing in accordance with the *Act*.

The Landlord with the opportunity to present his evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issues to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession pursuant to section 46 of the Act?
- Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?
- Is the Landlord entitled to the return of their filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that the tenancy began on April 1, 2018, as a one-year fixed tenancy; rent in the amount of \$1,800.00 is to be paid by the first day of each month and that the Tenants had paid a \$900.00 security deposit at the outset of this tenancy.

The Landlord testified that he served the Tenants with the Notice to End Tenancy by personally serving it to the Tenants on September 20, 2018, with an effective date of October 3, 2018. The Notice informed the Tenants of the right to dispute the Notice or pay the outstanding rent within five days after receiving it. The Notice also informed the Tenants that if an application to dispute the Notice or payment of the outstanding rent in full is not made within five days, the Tenants are presumed to have accepted the Notice and must move out of the rental unit on the date set out on page one of the Notice.

The Landlord testified that the Tenants paid the outstanding rent on October 24, 2018. The Landlord testified that the Tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within five days as required, and he is requesting an Order of Possession to enforce his Notice.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Section 46 of the *Act* requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for Nonpayment of Rent a tenant must, <u>within five days</u>, either pay the amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does not do either of these things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice under section 46(5).

Landlord's notice: non-payment of rent

46 (1) A landlord may end a tenancy if rent is unpaid on any day after the day it is due, by giving notice to end the tenancy effective on a date that is not earlier than 10 days after the date the tenant receives the notice.

(2) A notice under this section must comply with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy].

(3) A notice under this section has no effect if the amount of rent that is unpaid is an amount the tenant is permitted under this Act to deduct from rent.

(4) Within 5 days after receiving a notice under this section, the tenant may

(a) pay the overdue rent, in which case the notice has no effect, or

(b) dispute the notice by making an application for dispute resolution.

(5) If a tenant who has received a notice under this section does not pay the rent or make an application for dispute resolution in accordance with subsection (4), the tenant

> (a) is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and

(b) must vacate the rental unit to which the notice relates by that date.

I accept the undisputed testimony of the Landlord that the Tenants did not pay the outstanding rent until October 24,2018. Therefore, I find that the Tenants did not pay the rent or dispute the Notice within the legislated timeline and is conclusively presumed to have accepted the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Section 55 of the *Act* states that a landlord may request an order of possession if a notice to end the tenancy has been given by the landlord and the tenant has not disputed the notice by making an application for dispute resolution and the time for making that application has expired.

Order of possession for the landlord

55 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

(a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 *[form and content of notice to end tenancy]*, and

(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, effective no later than 1:00 p.m. on November 30, 2018. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court. The Tenants are cautioned that the costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

I also accept the testimony of the Landlord that the Tenants have paid the outstanding rent for this tenancy as of the date of this hearing, and I dismiss the Landlord's claim for a monetary order for unpaid rent.

Additionally, section 72 of the *Act* gives me the authority to order the repayment of a fee for an application for dispute resolution. As the Landlord has been partially successful in his application, I find that the Landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for his application. I grant permission to the Landlord to keep \$100.00 from the security deposit in full satisfaction of this award.

Conclusion

I grant an **Order of Possession** to the Landlord effective at 1:00 p.m. on **November 30, 2018**. The Tenants must be served with this Order. Should the Tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I dismiss the Landlord's claim for a monetary order for unpaid rent.

I grant permission to the Landlord to keep **\$100.00** from the security deposit for this tenancy, in full satisfaction of the award contained in my decision.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 16, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch