



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      FFL OPRM-DR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that November 15, 2018, the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of personal service via hand-delivery. The personal service was confirmed as the tenant acknowledged receipt of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by signing the Proof of Service form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, and in accordance with section 89 of the Act, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on November 15, 2018.

### Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on July 10, 2018, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,200.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on July 16, 2018;
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing during the portion of this tenancy in question, on which the landlord sets out its claim for unpaid rent owed in the amount of \$2,200.00, comprised of the balance of unpaid rent due by November 1, 2018;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the “**Notice**”) dated November 2, 2018, which the landlord states was served to the tenant on November 2, 2018, for \$2,200.00 in unpaid rent due on November 1, 2018, with a stated effective vacancy date of November 12, 2018; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice form asserting that the landlord served the Notice to the tenant by way of personal service via hand-delivery on November 2, 2018. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by “PS” and a signature for “PS” is included on the form. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service of the Notice was witnessed and a name and signature for the witness are included on the form

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the Act which provides that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenant did not pay the rental arrears.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and find that in accordance with section 88 of the *Act* the tenant was duly served with the Notice on November 2, 2018.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$2,200.00, as established in the Agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay rental arrears in the amount of \$2,200.00, comprised of the balance of unpaid rent owed by November 1, 2018.

I accept the landlord’s undisputed evidence and find that the tenant did not pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, November 12, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order of \$2,200.00 for unpaid rent owed for November 2018 as claimed on the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution by Direct Request.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the Act, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$2,300.00 for unpaid rent for November 2018, and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with these Orders in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with these Orders, these Orders may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as Orders of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 20, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch