



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding RAINCITY HOUSING AND SUPPORT SOCIETY  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      CNC

### Introduction

On November 7, 2018, the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution under the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("the *Act*") to cancel a One-Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the Notice). The matter was set for a conference call.

The Landlord attended the conference call hearing; however, the Tenant did not. As the Tenant are the applicants in this hearing, I find that the Tenant had been duly notified of the Notice of Hearing in accordance with the *Act*.

The Landlord was affirmed to be truthful in her testimony and was provided with the opportunity to present her evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

### Issues to be Decided

- Should the Notice to End Tenancy be cancelled?
- If not, is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

### Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that the tenancy began on December 1, 2015. Rent in the amount of \$383.00 is to be paid by the first day of each month, and that the Landlord is not holding a security deposit for this tenancy.

The Landlord testified that he served the Notice to End Tenancy to the Tenant on October 26, 2018, in person. The Landlord confirmed that he did require an order of possession in relation to this Notice.

### Analysis

Based on the above, the oral testimony and the documentary evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

I find that the Tenant received the 10-Day notice on October 26, 2018 and did apply to dispute the Notice. This matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 11:00 a.m. on this date. The line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and the only participant who called into the hearing was the Landlord.

Rules 7.1 and 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provide as follows:

**7.1** The dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator.

**7.3** If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Therefore, as the Tenant did not attend the hearing by 11:10 A.M, I dismiss the tenant's application without leave to reapply.

Section 55(1) of the *Act* states:

Order of possession for the landlord

**55(1)** If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

(a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and

(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I have reviewed the Notice to end tenancy, and I find the Notice complies with section 52 of the *Act*.

As I have dismissed the Tenant's application, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, I must grant the landlord an order of possession to the rental unit.

Therefore, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective not later than 2 days after service upon the Tenant.

### Conclusion

The Tenant's application is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective not later than 2 days after service upon the Tenant. The Tenant must be served with this Order. Should the Tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 14, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch