



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNE, MNDCT, OLC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenants' application pursuant to the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for the End of Employment with the Landlord (the 1 Month Notice) pursuant to section 41;
- a monetary order for compensation for losses or other money owed under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 60; and
- an order requiring the landlords to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 55.

Both parties attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present their sworn testimony, to make submissions, to call witnesses and to cross-examine one another.

As Landlord LG (the landlord) confirmed that they received copies of the tenants' dispute resolution hearing package sent by the tenants by registered mail on October 25, 2018, I find that the landlords were duly served with this package in accordance with section 82 of the *Act*. Since both parties confirmed that they had received one another's written evidence, I find that the written evidence was served in accordance with section 81 of the *Act*.

At the beginning of the hearing, Tenant HB (the tenant) confirmed that the tenants moved their travel trailer from the landlord's property on November 16, 2018. As such, the tenants withdrew their application to cancel the landlords' 1 Month Notice. The tenants' application to cancel the 1 Month Notice is hereby withdrawn.

Issues(s) to be Decided

Does the tenants' application for the recovery of unpaid wages totaling \$2,000.00 fall within the jurisdiction of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

At the hearing, the tenant confirmed that the monetary award of \$2,000.00 identified in the tenants' application for dispute resolution was for work they performed on the landlords' farm. The tenant confirmed that this was the amount of unpaid wages they were seeking from the landlords.

Analysis

Disputes between parties regarding unpaid wages do not fall within the jurisdiction of the *Act*. As this remaining portion of the tenants' application does not fall within the jurisdiction of the *Act*, I am unable to consider this aspect of the tenants' application.

Conclusion

The tenants' application to cancel the 1 Month Notice is withdrawn.

I find that I do not have jurisdiction regarding the tenants' application to recover unpaid wages and I dismiss their Application for Dispute Resolution for this lack of jurisdiction.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 03, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch