

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPRM – DR, FFL

## <u>Introduction</u>

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent. A participatory hearing was not convened.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 23, 2018 the landlord served each respondent with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* states a document sent by mail is deemed served on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after it is mailed.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that each respondent has been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents pursuant to the *Act*.

Upon review of the details of the Tenancy Agreement I note that while the landlord lists both respondents (TMS and MDCS) only the respondent TMS has signed the Tenancy Agreement. As such, I find the respondent MDCS is not a party to this tenancy and as such, I amend the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution to exclude MDCS as a respondent.

# Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee for this Application, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Page: 2

# Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on October 31, 2017 for a one year and one day fixed term tenancy beginning on November 1, 2017 for the monthly rent of \$1,100.00 due on the 1<sup>st</sup> of each month and a security deposit of \$550.00 was paid; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on August 21, 2018 with an effective vacancy date of August 31, 2018 due to \$1,100.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of August 2018 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent personally on August 21, 2018 and at 3:00 p.m. and this service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice was received by the tenant on August 21, 2018 and the effective date of the notice was August 31, 2018. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

## Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

Page: 3

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 and I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$1,200.00** comprised of rent owed in the amount of **\$1,100.00** and the **\$100.00** filing fee paid by the landlord.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 03, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch