



Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding LADHA ENTERPRISES LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an order of possession, for a monetary order for unpaid rent or utilities, and to recover the filing fee from the tenant.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing, by registered mail sent on December 7, 2018, the tenant did not appear. A Canada post tracking number was provided as evidence of service, which indicates the package was unclaimed by the tenant. Refusal or neglect to pick up the package is not grounds for review. I find that the tenant has been duly served in accordance with the Act.

The landlord's agent, gave testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

Based on the testimony of the landlord's agent, I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent on November 2, 2018, by posting to the door. The notice informed the tenant that the notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The notice also explains the tenant had five days to dispute the notice.

The landlord's agent stated that the tenant did not pay all rent owed within the five days and the tenant has failed to pay subsequent rent. The landlord seeks to recover unpaid rent up to and including January 2019, in the total amount of \$2,697.00.

The landlord's agent stated that the tenant told them that they would pay the full amount of rent on January 12, 2019, which they have not. The landlord seeks a monetary order and an order of possession.

The landlord withdraws their claim for late fees as there is no agreement to pay.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony, and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 39(5)(a) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 48 of the Act, effective **two days** after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court. The **tenant is cautioned** that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$2,797.00** comprised of unpaid rent as stated above and the \$100.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I grant the landlord an order pursuant to section 60 of the Act. This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court. The **tenant is cautioned** that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

Conclusion

The tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the notice to end tenancy. The tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice to end tenancy.

The landlord is granted an order of possession, and a monetary order for the unpaid rent.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 17, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch