

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

### **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNR MNDC OLC ERP RP PSF LRE RR FF

#### Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- a monetary order for the cost of emergency repairs to the rental unit pursuant to section 33:
- a monetary order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62;
- an order to the landlord to make emergency repairs to the rental unit pursuant to section
   33:
- an order to the landlord to make repairs to the rental unit pursuant to section 32;
- an order to the landlord to provide services or facilities required by law pursuant to section 65;
- authorization to change the locks and/or to suspend or set conditions on the landlord's right to enter the rental unit pursuant to section 70;
- an order to allow the tenant(s) to reduce rent for repairs, services or facilities agreed upon but not provided, pursuant to section 65;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. Both named parties attended the hearing.

#### Preliminary Issue: Service of Tenant's Application

The tenants testified that on January 29, 2019, he sent a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing to the landlord by regular mail. The tenant testified that it was sent to the address of the actual landlord. The tenant testified that Y.Z. is not the landlord even though he is the only person named as the landlord in the tenant's application.

Page: 2

Y.Z. testified that he only got notification of this hearing through an auto-generated e-mail sent by the Residential Tenancy Branch. Y.Z. testified that the landlord has not been served anything from the tenant.

Section 89 of the *Act* establishes the following Special rules for certain documents, which include an application for dispute resolution:

89(1) An application for dispute resolution,...when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

- (a) by leaving a copy with the person;
- (b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;
- (c) by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord:
- (d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;
- (e) as ordered by the director under section 71(1) [director's orders: delivery and service of document]...

I am not satisfied the landlord has been served with the tenant's application for dispute resolution by registered mail at an address at which he resides or carries on business or in any other manner required by section 89(1) of the *Act*. Regular mail is not a valid method of service for an Application for Dispute Resolution under section 89 of the Act.

#### Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 28, 2019	
	Residential Tenancy Branch